(4)

Supreme Court
FILED
JUN 27 2001
CLERK

No. 00-1430

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

CHERYLYNN COSTNER; LET GO PRODUCTIONS,

PETITIONERS,

v.

COMIC RELIEF, INC.; HOME BOX OFFICE; ROBERT ZMUDA; JOHN DAVIES; JOSEPH TROIANI, PH.D.; DOLLY KELLERHOUSE; STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA; MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP; WELLCOME; FIENMAN & APPLEGATE; CALIFORNIA STATE JUDICIARY; CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE; AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION; GOVERNOR PETE WILSON; COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; DOES 1 THROUGH 92,

RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

CHERYLYNN COSTNER
C/O America's Freedom Foundation
1156 Central Avenue, Suite 700
Los Angeles, California 90021
(323) 960-7704
In Pro Se, as Petitioners

12 pp

Table of Contents

1. Substantial New Facts Support Granting the Petition for Rehearing p. 1

2. The Court is Prejudice against Equal Justice for All and in Favor of the Rich, Powerful and Politically Connected p. 6

3. Conclusion p.10

Table of Cases

Pyler v Doe 457 U.S. 202 p. 2
Wayte v U.S. 840 Led 2d 547. p. 2
Jewell v. U.S. p. 2
U.S. v Kransky p. 2
U.S. v Annottie p. 6
Faretta v. State of California p. 7
Napue v Illinois p. 7
Strickland v. Washington p. 8

Table of Statues

Supreme Court Rule 15 subsection 8 p. 1
US Constitution Section 9 subtitle 8 **p. 6**
U.S Const. 13th Amendment **p. 6**
(original 13th amend.)
Jewell Doctrine **p. 2**
Ku Klux Klan Act **p. 2**
Alice and Wonderland Syndrome p. 3
Declaration of Independence **p. 3, 4**
Exodus 20.16 p. 4, 7
Mayflower Compact **p. 4**
Daniel 4.1-33 p. 5
Psalms 82 1-5 p. 5
Isaiah 10.1-3 p. 5
Luke 11.43-54 p. 6
2 Corinthians 11.14,15. p. 8

Supplemental Brief

Cherylynn Costner and Let Go Productions, Petitioners herein, submits this Supplemental Brief under the provisions of the Supreme Court Rule 15 subsection 8 for new facts relevant to this case.

1. Substantial New Facts Support Granting the Petition for Rehearing.

After filing the Petition for Rehearing, Petitioners noticed that there were some inadvertent typographical errors in her petition. The typos may have made certain points not as precise as they should have been. Ms Costner called the Clerks Office regarding the possibility of sending in a letter or an amended Petition to clarify these issues for all of the Justices. She was put in contact with Frank Lorson, Chief Deputy Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States, and she was shocked to be informed by Mr. Lorson on June 15, 2001, weeks before the conference scheduled for June 28, 2001, that she should not bother as he claimed that the Judges would not grant a rehearing no matter what was submitted. Mr. Lorson claimed that he absolutely knew that the outcome of the conference would be a denial. He aggressively alleged that none of the U.S. Supreme Court Justices were interested in hearing this case which involved the massive corruption in the legal establishment and the judiciary being so extensive that it imperials the credibility of the entire judiciary and the legal system and poses a clear and present danger to the lives, liberty and property of all living in America. Mr. Lorson stated that any effort on petitioner's behalf was *"beating a*

dead horse." **IS DUE PROCESS A DEAD HORSE?** Pyler v Doe 457 U.S. 202; Wayte v U.S. 840 Led 2d 547.

Petitioner was astounded to hear off the record that this Court essentially had predetermined that they would give a stamp of approval onto the corruption in the lower state and federal courts in violation of their judicial duty. Mr. Lorson thereby indicated that all U.S. Supreme Court Justices are guilty of the corruption in our courts in its entirety under the **Jewell Doctrine**, Jewell v. U.S. 532 F2d at 697 (9th Cir. 1976), and compounding this conspiracy under the color of law and another violation of the **Ku Klux Klan Act**.

The record indisputably proves that when the judges and lawyers in the legal system are faced with the truth, facts and law presented by Ms. Costner, a citizen lawyer in fact, these so called *"expert legal scholars and judges"* had to resort to out right and outrageous lying about facts and law in order to protect the rich and powerful they serve. In light of this new revelation, and in abundance of caution to make sure that this Court understands the authority upon which she is bringing the Petition for Rehearing, and this Court's legal and moral obligations to accept certiorari for the purpose of purifying the waters in our temples of Justice as required by the U.S. Constitution and controlling law.

Chief Justice Earl Warren writing for the Court in U.S. v Kransky 670 F 2d 840 (1979) ruled what the courts obligation is when this type of corruption is uncovered and stated the following: This Court has an obligation to be sure that

corruption does not happen in the first place. Secondly, once it has occurred the Court has a duty to immediately the purify waters in our temples of Justice, the waters of which cannot be purified until the reservoir has been totally cleansed of all impurities. Whereas Petitioner is not a legal scholar herself, and unlike the judges and the lawyers, does not have the license to make up the law as she goes along changing it at her whim (**Alice and Wonderland Syndrome**).

However, Ms Costner as an American is obligated to invoke the authority of thousands of years of law springing from the commands of the God of Abraham given through Moses, and through a thousand years of established Anglo-American law that was codified as far back as 1215 A.D. in the English Magna Carter and further solidified under the reign of King Henry III (1216 – 1272) and ratified by the British Parliament, then further affirmed by Sir Edward Coke in 1610, see Civic Duty, **Declaration of Independence** (In Congress July 4, 1776).

This concept of law holds that the King himself will obey the law or pay the price, his refusal brought about the Revolutionary War. At the end of the Revolutionary War the authority of the King was transferred collectively and individually to *"We the People."* The government bureaucracy is not King nor Sovereign, the law however holds that even when the King was the Supreme ruler he was first under the authority of God and secondly under the authority of the law. When he acts outside of those two authorities and according to his own will and interest he essentially is acting outside of his lawful authority

as sovereign and is subject to the penalties of the law. This law that the Founding Fathers embraced was dictated by God himself and is superior in obligation to any other it is binding all over the globe and no human law or of any lawful validity is contrary to this. One of the paramount laws as described in the ten commandments of God given to Moses in **Exodus** 20.16 *"you shall not bear false witness in court (you shall not lie)"* which is obviously the foundation of any truth seeking process as well as the rule of law.

Less there be any misunderstanding of God's authority over this Country and our Founding Fathers intent to comply with the commands of the Supreme Judge of the Universe they stated in the **Mayflower Compact** (Contract) 1620: *"Having undertaken for the **Glory of God** and the advancement of the **Christian faith** and honor of our King and Country a voyage to plant the first colony in the northern parts of Virginia."* On its face it is self evident the religious founding of our nation. One of the major rallying cries through out the revolution was *"we will have no King over us but Jesus."* Even to this day on this Court's Bar Admission Certificate it states *"in the year of our Lord 2001,"* the Lord referred to is Jesus.

The Declaration of July 4th 1776 which further affirms this fact which states in relevant part:

> *"We hold these truths to be self evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by the Creator (God) with certain unalienable rights, that among them are life, liberty, and*

the pursuit of happiness, that to secure these rights governments are instituted among men."

It was further reaffirmed in the Constitution of the United States Preamble the Founder's intent to follow Gods commands for justice where they state as the first commandment *"We the People of the United States in order to form a more perfect union establish justice."*

God himself specifically holds the judges accountable for administering justice and condemns those to eternal punishment who willfully flaunt his law in this regard.

The great King of Babylon Nebuchadnezzar learned that God rules all the Kingdoms of this World, much less judges, see **Daniel** 4.1-33. Furthermore, **Psalms** 82 1-5 warns that:

"1.) God stands up to open Heavens Court. He pronounces judgment on the judges.

2.) How long will you judges refuse to listen to the evidence? How long will you shower special favors on the wicked?

3.) Give fair judgment to the poor man, the afflicted, the fatherless, the destitute.

4.) Rescue the poor and needy from the grasp of evil men.

5.) But you are too foolish and so ignorant, because you are in darkness, all the foundations of our society are shaken to the core." Also see **Isaiah** 10.1-3.

In **Luke** 11.43-54 *"where a lawyer was standing in the audience told Jesus that you have insulted my profession in what you have said. Jesus replied the same horrors await you (lawyers) for you crush men beneath* ***impossible legal demands which you would never think of trying to keep."***

When the government fails to adhere to the above stated principals it is a clear and present danger and a threat to every one in America. This danger was recognized by Monstrquirer De L'Espirit-Del Los who stated that: There is no more cruel tyranny than that which is exercised under color of law, and with the colors of justice," U.S. v Annottie 673 F 2d 528, 614 3rd 1982.

2. The Court is Prejudice against Equal Justice for All and in Favor of the Rich, Powerful and Politically Connected.

The overwhelming evidence is that our federal judiciary is composed of the exclusive class of self appointed nobility, better known as State Bar Lawyers who have given themselves special emoluments in violation of the US Constitution Section 9 subtitle 8. This prohibition specifically designed to prevent lawyers from creating a monopoly was made self evident by the Founders in the original U.S.Constitution 13th Amendment which evidence now indicates was ratified by Virginia the 13th State. The original 13th Amendment demonstrated showed the seriousness of the Founders beliefs in this area by stripping all of those who would establish themselves through a defacto class of nobility of their American citizenship.

The founders of America had a deep seated distrust for lawyers as a result of the teachings of Jesus the Christ and their personal experience of persecution and oppression by lawyers in England. This feeling was so strong that they considered the legal profession the most dangerous of all criminal organizations, right along with highway robbers, murders, extortionists and drug dealers. So much so that they criminalized the practice of law for money, see foot notes Faretta v. State of California 422 U.S. 804. The Founder's wisdom is again proving itself to be true. Increasingly the lawyers through their various political offices, State Bar Associations and through the activity of respondent American Bar Association (ABA) have over the last century begin to use their profession to slowly but surely usurp the People's Constitutional rights to Justice, so they can sell their services at outrageous prices to the affluent upper class and corporate interest. This process has been further advanced by this Court having failed to enforce its own rulings for justice including the ruling of Chief Justice Earl Warren.

Furthermore, Napue v Illinois 360 U.S. 264 the Court held that a lie is a lie no matter what its subject, and that the government has the responsibility to correct what they know to be false and elicit the truth. **Exodus** 20.16 *"You shall not bear false witness (you must not lie)"*. In criminal and civil cases the legal establishment has willfully deprived the People of effective assistance of counsel and is seeking to rule by force, fraud and intimidation, where the attorneys for the powerful are allowed to fabricate evidence script write criminal and civil complaints, and verdicts,

suppress favorable evidence resulting in the false imprisonment and execution of innocent people, the destruction of people lives and businesses.

Those attorneys who are suppose to be protecting the rights the little people make no defenses or only sham defenses willfully rolling over allowing the powerful to prevail. The judges aid and abet this conspiracy and oppress Pro Se litigants when they begin to, personally protect their rights and lie in the record to protect the powerful and their attorneys because Justice has been officially abandoned. While the legal system and Courts goes to great lengths to give the People the image of justice while committing violence against the People and acts that are crimes against humanity.

The Founding Fathers would not be surprised for Christ had instructed them that Satan can change himself into an angel of light and therefore it is no wonder that his servants can do it too, see **2 Corinthians** 11.14,15. This policy of destroying people's lives has been advanced by this Court in the case Strickland v. Washington 80 Led 2d. 674 where the Courts ruling allowed criminal defendants to be deprived of their most basic Constitutional rights by allowing defense attorneys to become organs of the state, warm bodies providing only sham defenses where a lawyer can be crazy, sleep and be drunk through out the trial and by this Court's ruling be considered to have had effective assistance of counsel which is a mockery of Justice.

This elitist philosophy and the abandonment of Justice by the legal establishment who have

replaced the command of justice with a doctrine of rights only for the "Just Us" those with money, power and influence, and have changed the rule of law to the rule of men.

The seeds of anarchy have long ago been sown and are bearing fruit which is evidenced by Sen. Patrick Leahy *"The FBI used to be the gems in the crown jewels, today it appears that they have become wore and tarnished,"* USA Today June 21, 2001 2A. This betrayal of trust has been advanced by the defendant/respondent ABA who receives millions of tax payers money from the executive branch of government. Respondent ABA's activities are also being supported and advance as per USA Today June 21, 2001 5A by several US Supreme Court Justices including O'Connor, Breyer and possibly others who purport to be teaching communist nations how to establish legal systems now apparently based on a tyranny of the legal establishment as opposed to the Communist or Nazi parties who need no additional help in learning how to pervert the law as executions even at places like Auschwitz where often conducted following mock judicial hearing and show trials. It is now however apparent that the participation of these Supreme Court Justices with the respondent ABA creates a conflict of interest of this court.

It is now self-evident and the time is ripe for judgment and justice to begin at this court and petitioner's case provides immediate undisputed jurisdiction to do so. This Court also has Constitutional jurisdiction to become a court of original jurisdiction to hear facts as well as law and to expand this case to include full evidentiary hearing of the totality of this corruption across this

nation and to once and for all check this evil and purify our temples of justice. Petitioners are more than willing and able to try the merits of this case before this court against whomever the defendant/respondents retain to be their representatives.

3. **Conclusion**

The facts put forth in this case can be ignore but not disputed. Although it appears that there may no longer be Justice in the U.S. Courts, in the Court of Life Justice will ultimately prevail. Therefore, in the interest of all in America which this case affects personally, legally and spiritually, it is imperative that this Court take action immediately and because of the seriousness of these issues and the Sovereigns People's right to know which Justices stand for Justice and the rule of law and which ones if any stand for lawlessness and tyranny. Therefore Petitioners demands that every Judge personally vote on the record their decision.

I now call Heaven and Earth as a witness to the Court's decision and further remind you of Thomas Jefferson beliefs where he stated that *"I tremble for this Country when I reflect that God is just."* Therefore petitioner moves this Court by the authority of the above and the Sovereign People's interest injustice that this Court should grant certiorari in this case.

Dated: June 25, 2001

Cherylynn Costner

Cherylynn Costner
In Pro Se, as Petitioners